IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of	MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Hiroaki Sano et al.	Group Art Unit: 1791
Application No.: 10/562,811	Examiner: CHRISTOPHER C CAILLOUET Confirmation No.: 6482
Filed: December 29, 2005	
For: TUBE CONNECTING APPARATUS	

Request for Reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.705(B)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants hereby request reconsideration the patent term adjustment indicated in the *Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)* mailed with the *Notice of Allowance*. This request for reconsideration is filed no later than the payment of the issue fee, but filed after the date of mailing of the Notice of Allowance. Therefore, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b), this request is timely filed.

Applicants respectfully submit that the revised patent term adjustment was incorrectly calculated in that the Office has failed to take the decision in *Wyeth v. Kappos*, No. 2009-1120, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Jan. 7, 2010) into consideration in calculating the revised patent term adjustment. In particular, the Office appears to have calculated the revised patent term adjustment on the basis that the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b) is overlapping with every period of delay for which adjustment must be afforded under 35 U.S.C. § (b)(1)(A) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(a). It is understood that:

[T]he Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was

pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (2004). However, the Federal Circuit decision affirmed the decision in *Wyeth v. Dudas*, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008) which pointed out:

The problem with the PTO's construction is that it considers the application delayed under §154(b)(1)(B) during the period before it has been delayed. That construction cannot be squared with the language of §154(b)(1)(B), which applies "if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years." (Emphasis added.) "B delay" begins when the PTO has failed to issue a patent within three years, not before.

Wyeth v. Dudas, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538, 1541 (D.D.C. 2008).

Accordingly, as set forth below, Applicants are entitled to 719 days of patent term adjustment rather than the 506 days indicated *Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)* mailed with the *Notice of Allowance*. This is because the 525 day period of adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(a) that began on the day after 14 months from the date that the application was filed and ended on the day that the first action was mailed cannot have overlapped with the 227 day period under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b) that began on the day after the date that is three years after the date that the application was filed and ended on the day that a Request for Continued Examination was filed. The sum of the non-overlapping periods under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702 is 752 days. Subtracting 33 days of applicant delay under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704 results in a final adjustment of 719 days.

This request complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)(1) & (2) as follows:

- (1) The request is accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.18(e); and
- (2) Below, Applicants set forth a statement of the facts involved, specifying:

- (i) The correct patent term adjustment and the basis or bases under § 1.702 for the adjustment;
- (ii) The relevant dates as specified in §§ 1.703(a) through (e) for which an adjustment is sought and the adjustment as specified in § 1.703(f) to which the patent is entitled;
- (iii) Whether the patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer and any expiration date specified in the terminal disclaimer; and

(iv)

- (A) Any circumstances during the prosecution of the application resulting in the patent that constitute a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of such application as set forth in § 1.704; or
- (B) That there were no circumstances constituting a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of such application as set forth in § 1.704.

The Correct Patent Term Adjustment and Bases Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702

The correct patent term adjustment is 719 days. The bases under § 1.702 for the adjustment are as follows.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(a)(1), the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed. The application was filed on December 29, 2005 and a first action was not mailed until August 6, 2008.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b), the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date the application was filed.

The application was filed on December 29, 2005 and a Request for Continued Examination was filed on August 13, 2009. No time was consumed by an interference proceeding under 35 U.S.C. 135(a), by the imposition of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, by review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or a Federal court, or any delay in the processing of the application by the Office that was requested by the applicant.

The Relevant Dates As Specified In 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.703(a) Through (e)

The relevant dates as specified in §§ 1.703(a) through (e) for which an adjustment is sought are as follows:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(a), the period of adjustment under § 1.702(a) is the sum of the following periods:

The number of days in the period beginning on the day after the date that is fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed and ending on the date of mailing of an action under 35 U.S.C. 132 comprises 525 days. The application was filed on December 29, 2005. Therefore, the day that is 14 months after the day that the application was filed is February 28, 2006. The first action was mailed August 6, 2008. The period beginning on February 28, 2006 and ending August 6, 2008 comprises 525 days.

The period of adjustment under § 1.702(b) is the number of days in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed and ending on the date a patent was issued was 227 days. The application was filed on December 29, 2005. The day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed is December 29, 2008. A Request for Continued Examination was filed on August 13, 2009. Therefore, the

. ago o

August 13, 2009 is 227 days.

Whether The Patent Is Subject To A Terminal Disclaimer

The patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

Any Circumstances During The Prosecution Of The Application Resulting In The Patent That Constitute A Failure To Engage In Reasonable Efforts To Conclude Processing Or Examination Of Such Application As Set Forth In § 1.704

period of adjustment under § 1.702(b) beginning December 29, 2008 and ending on

The circumstances during the prosecution of the application that constituted a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of such application as set forth in § 1.704 were as follows:

On one occasion, Applicants are deemed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704 to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for periods of time in excess of three months that were taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office. A non-final action was mailed August 6, 2008. The day after the date that is three months after the date of this mailing was November 6, 2008. A reply was filed on November 25, 2008. This period of delay was 19 days.

On another occasion, Applicants filed an Information Disclosure Statement on April 14, 2010, after the Notice of Allowance was issued. In a telephone conversation on April 27, 2010 with the undersigned, Examiner Caillouet confirmed that he considered the Information Disclosure Statement and would mail acknowledgement of such on April 28, 2010. The period of delay 37 C.F.R. § 1.704 from the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement on April 14, 2010 to the mailing of the acknowledgement on April 28, 2010 is 14 days.

Page 6

The cumulative total number of days calculated under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704 is 33

days.

The Adjustment As Specified In 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(f) To Which The Patent Is

Entitled

The adjustment as specified in § 1.703(f) to which the patent is entitled is the

sum of the periods calculated under paragraphs (a) through (e) of 37 C.F.R. § 1.702,

to the extent that such periods are not overlapping, less the sum of the periods

calculated under § 1.704. That is, 525 days under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(a), plus 227

days under § 1.702(b) for a sum of 522 days under 37 C.F.R. § 1.702 less 33 days

calculated under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704. That is, the adjustment to which the patent is

entitled is 719 days.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the revised patent term adjustment indicated on

the patent was in error. The patent term adjustment to which the patent is entitled is

719 days. Reconsideration and correction of the patent term adjustment is

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: April 27, 2009

By: /David R. Kemeny/

Matthew L. Schneider

Registration No. 32814

David R. Kemeny

Registration No. 57241

Customer No. 21839

(703) 836-6620